

1 FREDERICK P. FURTH (No. 38438)  
2 MICHAEL P. LEHMANN (No. 77152)  
3 THOMAS P. DOVE (No. 51921)  
4 ALEX C. TURAN (No. 227273)  
5 THE FURTH FIRM LLP  
6 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor  
7 San Francisco, California 94104-4249  
8 Telephone: (415) 433-2070  
9 Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 (Additional Counsel are listed on Signature Page)

12 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 MICHAEL BRAUCH, a resident of San ) CASE NO. C:05-2743 MHP  
16 Francisco, and ANDREW MEIMES, a )  
17 resident of New York, on behalf of ) NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER  
18 themselves and all others similarly situated, ) ACTION OR PROCEEDING  
19 )  
20 Plaintiffs, )  
21 )  
22 vs. )  
23 )  
24 INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware )  
25 corporation, )  
26 )  
27 Defendant. )  
28 )

---

Pursuant to Civ. Local Rule 3-13, plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes (“Plaintiffs”) identify the following cases in which substantially similar antitrust law violations are alleged to have occurred due to Intel Corporation’s (“Intel”) use of its substantial market power to unlawfully maintain its monopoly by engaging in a relentless campaign to coerce customers to refrain from dealing with Advanced Micro Devices, which resulted in customers paying higher prices for x86 microprocessors and left them with fewer buying choices for such microprocessors.

Each of the following cases therefore relates to the general subject matter alleged in this action.

1. *Arnold v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00554, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware on August 1, 2005. This action has not been assigned to a judge as of the date of this filing.
2. *Genese, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00556, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware on August 2, 2005. This action has not been assigned to a judge as of the date of this filing.
3. *Boeding v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00557, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware on August 2, 2005. This action has not been assigned to a judge as of the date of this filing.
4. *Munson v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00558, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware on August 2, 2005. This action has not been assigned to a judge as of the date of this filing.
5. *Pines v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00560, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware on August 2, 2005. This action has not been assigned to a judge as of the date of this filing.

On July 11, 2005, Plaintiffs filed a motion with the Judicial Panel for Multidistrict Litigation, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407, requesting that all pending and subsequently filed actions in this and other district courts be transferred and coordinated or

1 consolidated in the Northern District of California for pretrial proceedings. A copy of that  
2 motion was lodged with the clerk of court on July 11, 2005. The Plaintiffs believe that  
3 coordination of the foregoing cases pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407 (Multi-District Litigation  
4 Procedures) will avoid conflicts, conserve resources and promote an efficient determination  
5 of the action.

6 Dated: August 5, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

7  
8 By: /s/ Alex C. Turan  
9 Michael P. Lehmann  
10 Thomas P. Dove  
11 Alex C. Turan  
12 The Furth Firm, LLP  
13 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor  
14 San Francisco, California 94104-4249  
15 Telephone: (415) 433-2070  
16 Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

17 Francis O. Scarpulla (41059)  
18 Law Offices Of Francis O. Scarpulla  
19 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400  
20 San Francisco, CA 94104  
21 Telephone: (415) 788-7210  
Facsimile: (415) 788-0707

22 Craig C. Corbitt (83251)  
23 Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette, LLP  
24 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400  
25 San Francisco, CA 94104  
26 Telephone: (415) 693-0700  
27 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770

28 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and  
Andrew Meimes